## CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

**INSTRUCTIONS**: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.

July 27, 2015		ATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: August 11, 2015	
DAT	F RECEIVED:		
	Print Avenue	and the second	A S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	CDSS concurs with the ALJ's decision.		
11.	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):	Manager of the County	
	Re the jurisdictional issue, it does appear that the claimant's different from the NOAs it sent in 2014 and 2015 in two resp different. So, even though there is no finding and jurisdiction this purpose.  Re the ruling on the merits, the central question is whether to the county to pay benefits that precede the application date, regulation was not intended to be used for a restoration of bethis reviewer's understanding that, notwithstanding the fact that applied to the CF Program, 63-802.12 cannot be applied in administrative error.	hect nal he j is c ene hat	ts: 1. It doesn't have an apt no., and 2. the zip code is determination, a rehearing would not be recommended for judge's interpretation and application of 63-802.12, ordering correct. It is this reviewer's understanding that the effits that precedes the application date. Related to this, it is to case law has determined that equitable estoppel cannot be
10.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:	alar vo d'unimental des	
	The county filed a rehearing request (request is attached to Calfresh benefits for the period of December 11, 2012 throug applied for CF bs on December 23, 2013, and sent the claim approved for \$10.00 in Dec and increased to \$15 in Jan 2012015. The decision includes factual findings that the claimar claimant's apt #. however, there is no finding that the claimar review the merits. Aside from the jurisdictional issue, the judg the claimant was receiving SSDI, not SSI/SSP benefits, that benefits he was entitled to, that it failed to do this, and, there back one year pursuant to 63-802.12. The county disputes to	gh E lant 4. Int te nt d ge c it ha	December 10, 2013. It is undisputed that the claimant to a NOA on Dec 24, 2013 that his application had been. The claimant did not request a state hearing until Jan 15, estified he didn't get the NOA, and that it did not include the did not receive the NOA and, therefore, there is jurisdiction to determined that the county had knowledge of the fact that had a responsibility to assist the claimant in obtaining the e, the county most restore the bs that the claimant lost going
9.	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):	<u></u>	
4.	REGULATION CITE(S): 63-802.12; 63-503; 63-301; 63-207, 40-181.1(a)	-	
3.	PHONE NO.: 619-521-8023	-	ACL 03-18
2.	REQUESTOR NAME: Deborah Smaller, ALJ II Specialist	8.	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).
	Other:	7. 	SUBJECT: rehearing request by county
	Fair Hearing		Sonoma County
	Policy/Regulation Interpretation  GOC	6.	7/27/2015 asap county/organization:
1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5.	DATE OF REQUEST. NEED RESPONSE BY:
0	Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted direct where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the	etly t	to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county ppropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5. DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:		
Policy/Regulation Interpretation				
□ QC	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZA	TION:		
☐ Fair Hearing				
Other:	7. SUBJECT:	7. SUBJECT:		
REQUESTOR NAME:		ude ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references; must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).		
B. PHONE NO.:				
. REGULATION CITE(S):				

CF 24 (7/12)